

Interview Summary



Applicant(s)

09/839,574

MANTHORPE

Examiner

Richard Schnizer

Art Unit **1635**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Richard Schnizer	(3)
(2) Betsy Haanes	(4)
Date of Interview	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	-
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was notified that the form 1449 filed 8/24/01 was missing from the file folder, as were the cited references. Applicant subsequently faxed a copy of the 1449 and the Examiner agreed to order the references. The cited references will be considered after delivery, but have not yet been considered.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required